2020

Strategic Priorities



CONTENTS

Document Prepared By	2
Background	3
Process Outline	3
Summary of Strategic Goals:	4
Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, and Challenges Identification	5
Strengths	
Weaknesses	6
Opportunities	7
Challenges	8
Goal Identification & Alignment	
Strategic Questions and Actions	10
Appendix A: Goal Identification	11
Appendix B: Evaluations	

DOCUMENT PREPARED BY

Paul Roback- Professor & Community Development Educator

333 E. Washington St., Suite 1200 PO Box 2003 West Bend, WI 53095 Phone: (262) 335-4480 paul.roback@wisc.edu

Web site: http://washington.uwex.edu



An AA/EEO employer, UW-Madison Division of Extension provides equal opportunities in employment and programming, including Title VI, Title IX and ADA requirements

BACKGROUND

The Village of Germantown contacted Paul Roback, Community Development Educator at UW-Madison Division of Extension Washington County, to facilitate a process to identify strategic priorities for the Village board and staff. A pre-planning meeting was held with Village Administrator and Support Services Manager. As a result of this conversation, a planning process was designed using the Village's existing mission and vision statements as a framework to identify goals that would provide direction for Village board members and staff in future decision-making.

PROCESS OUTLINE

Mission:

"Working together to respond to our residents and businesses by providing efficient services that enhance the community's quality of life."

Staff

- October 8, 2019
- Review Mission & Vision Statements
- Identify Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, and Challenges
- Brainstorm and Prioritize External & Internal Goals

Board

Joint

- November 4, 2019
 - Review Mission & Vision Statements
 - Identify Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, and Challenges
 - Brainstorm and Prioritize External & Internal Goals

- December 2, 2019
 - Alignment of Board & Staff External Goals
 - Alignment of Board & Staff Internal Goals
 - Action Steps Towards Goal Implementation

Vision:

"Germantown's ethnic heritage, high quality of life based on its rural and suburban character, and desirable location in the greater metropolitan area create our competitive advantage. Our people will work together, with respect for each other, to ensure that development is consistent with Germantown's future as a neighborly and safe place to live, work and play."

SUMMARY OF STRATEGIC GOALS:

- 1. Planning- Complete the Comprehensive Planning process with public input that develops a unified community vision that addresses community growth (residential, commercial, and industrial), while balancing the desires of current residents and future generations.
- 2. Infrastructure- Develop a capital improvement plan for current and future infrastructure needs (e.g. roads, public works building, police department...).
- 3. Public Engagement- Build public trust through communication and transparency. Develop new strategies to engage the public; improve internal communications to create efficiencies and improved customer service.
- 4. Inter-Agency Relationships- Collaborate with civic groups, businesses, other government entities, including the Germantown School District, to provide community-focused services

STRENGTHS, WEAKNESSES, OPPORTUNITIES, AND CHALLENGES IDENTIFICATION

A number of internal and external forces affect the ability of an organization to carry out its mission through action plans. Positive forces (strengths and opportunities) should be built upon and hindering forces (weaknesses and challenges) should be proactively addressed in action plans. A Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities & Challenges (SWOC) Identification is a participatory activity that assists in the identification of these forces.

On October 8, 2019, nine Village staff identified strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and challenges within Village government and within the greater Germantown Community (outside of Village Government). On November 4, 2019, eight Village board members participated in a similar process. Responses are recorded in the tables below. For each table, an attempt was made to align similar staff and board responses in the same row. Generally, responses further down the table are less similar between board and staff. There were numerous responses that were consistent between the board and staff in each of the tables, except for the last table related to challenges within the community. Board and staff may want to discuss this table to understand each perspectives on community challenges.

STRENGTHS

Strengths within Village Government

Identified by Village Staff	Identified by Village Board
Collaborative environment	Collaborative Village Board
Employees- experienced staff with longevity,	Employees- outstanding, longevity, good
history, and knowledge	backgrounds/experience
In very good fiscal health with reserves	Board and staff understand conservative
	fiscal nature- all on the same page
Board is supporting staff more- they are	Board's respect for each other
respectful even if they disagree	
Leadership and becoming more professional	Financial background of Village Administrator
Village staff works together and	Board and staff commitment to public service
communicates quickly	
Communication	Strong contingency fund
Minimally political Village Board	Strength of Police and Fire services

Strengths within the Community

Identified by Village Staff	Identified by Village Board
Open space with room to grow	A lot of desirable land that could be
	developed
Service groups and organizations willingness	Civic groups- Library (two groups), Chamber,
to volunteer	Kiwanis, Historical Society
Good schools	High quality school system

Location- easy access to transportation and proximity to Milwaukee, Fox Valley, and	Location for businesses- freeway access, access to Milwaukee, close to urban area
Madison	·
Shared vision and values for community	Likeminded individuals with a conservative mentality
Good mix of residential, commercial, and	Strong tax base
industry	
Community pride	Good German events
Friendly	Desired area to live and raise a family
Engagement- residents will come out on	Closeness of many of the neighborhoods
some issues	
Recreation and parks	Rural character
Safe / public safety	Business friendly

WEAKNESSES

Weaknesses within Village Government

Identified by Village Staff	Identified by Village Board
Growing pains- transitioning from small town	Infrastructure needs as community grows-
to mid-size city	public works building, police, fire, and library
Staff levels too low	Staff shortages in some departments-
	challenging to respond to residents in a
	timely fashion
Compensation	Keeping pace with staff salaries compared to
	neighboring communities
Budgeting challenges- competition for	Limited revenue sources, revenue doesn't
limited resources	match demand for services, and limited to
	how much budget can increase
Differing visions due to management and	Not following Comprehensive Plan
staff turnover	
Communication- different styles between	Inability to share government information
staff and communication across village	with all residents
government buildings	
Lack of knowledge transfer and succession	Infrastructure is aging
planning	
Location- in corner of four counties, difficult	
for communication with various entities	
Lack of employee engagement- trying to	
break down historical barriers	
Lack of written policies and code updates	
Systems- each department runs as separate	
entity on autopilot	

Lack of understanding of what staff do-	
between staff and between staff and Board	
Mentality of "we've always done it this way"	

Weaknesses within the Community

vvcakiesses within the community	
Identified by Village Staff	Identified by Village Board
Aging infrastructure	Continuing to fund road maintenance
Disconnect between Village Board and School	Not able to work with other public groups
Board	
Communication- people have opinions	No local paper reporting on a daily basis- lack
without the facts; trying to balance between	of media focused on stories that are
the old and new ways to communicate (e.g.	important to the residents
paper verses electronic)	
Reliance on other communities- need to	Inability to attract additional commerce, such
leave the community for hospitals and	as sit down restaurants
restaurants	
Lack of identity	Bedroom community- lack of a community
	identity and central downtown main street
Growing pains- differing ideas between long-	Lack of some services- walking and biking
term residents verses newer residents	lanes, a community center
Many levels of government- residents are	Residents mistrust of the Village government
unsure of where to go for services	
Lack treatment options for substance abuse	Difficult cell service in the Northeast portion
and mental health issues	of the Village
Tension between staying the same and the	
reality of change	

OPPORTUNITIES

Opportunities within Village Government

Identified by Village Staff	Identified by Village Board
Monumental development- hard to keep up;	Developable land for business and residential
clashes with vision; good tax base	growth
Expanding economy- business, sub-divisions,	Favorable interest rates and bond ratings
and residents	
Other communities are also expanding	Proximity to freeway and Milwaukee
New staff as people retire	2050 land use plan
Change of management styles	Lower tax rate compared to Milwaukee
People want to work here, which is a positive	To develop conservation subdivisions
Growing openness of Village Board to new	Growth of multi-family housing
ideas	
Intergovernmental Agreements with other	
communities	

School district has expanded facilities, which	
is an opportunity to collaborate	

Opportunities within the Community

Identified by Village Staff	Identified by Village Board
Housing market and new residential	Residential growth- ability of families to grow
development- single family,	here is a community asset
retirement/senior, and hipster apartments	
Amenities- parks, playgrounds, golf course,	To take advantage of natural resources (e.g.
recreation department, schools	Menomonee River), scenic areas, close
	proximity to Kettle Moraine State Forest
Room for growth and open space	Growth and land available for development
Growth of manufacturing in Southeastern	Share borders with communities that are also
Wisconsin	experiencing growth
Access to cultural attractions	Proximity to Menomonee Falls and their
	retail
Changing demographics (e.g. school	
referendum passed)	
Longevity of residents	

CHALLENGES

Challenges within Village Government

Identified by Village Staff	Identified by Village Board
Levy limits	State levy limits and mandates
Government mandates	State Government over-reach
State law changes	State eliminated home rule- impacted and
	limited our decisions by changing the rules
Shrinking labor force	Competing for talented staff with
	neighboring communities
Increase in demand for services	Balance growth with public service needs
Distrust of government	Current relationship with school district
Attracting businesses- restaurants and	Economy
industry	
Post office- new Briggs building has a	Other taxing entities within the Village with
Richfield address	differing goals
Reputation- perception that we may be anti-	
development	
Phone numbers have multiple exchanges	

Challenges within the Community

Identified by Village Staff	Identified by Village Board
Communicating (getting the word out)	Getting people to participate in government
regarding new state laws	
Potential for recession	Economic Development- competition with
	using incentives
Unrealistic expectations- want an immediate	Managing quality of life issues with growth
response to problems	and businesses near residential
Declining civility- more people having trouble	Keeping up with road repairs
working through issues with neighbors, level	
of anger	
Increasing diversity and number of people-	Business competition from neighboring
some have difficulty with change (e.g. age,	communities
race, income)	
Drugs	Managing emerald ash borer
Changing family structures- effects on	
schools and village government	
Aging demographics	

GOAL IDENTIFICATION & ALIGNMENT

Goals are broad statements of what the organization hopes to achieve in the next few years. They focus on outcomes or results and are qualitative in nature. Staff identified goals at the October 8th session and then grouped the goals into themes. A survey was sent to Village board members soliciting their response on the question, "What do we need to accomplish over the next 2-3 years in our community?" Staff and board goals are located in Appendix A. Similar responses were then grouped into common themes and the following four goals were presented to board and staff at the December 2nd Village board meeting.

Strategic Goal Alignment between Board and Staff:

- 5. Planning- Complete the Comprehensive Planning process with public input that develops a unified community vision that addresses community growth (residential, commercial, and industrial), while balancing the desires of current residents and future generations.
- 6. Infrastructure- Develop a capital improvement plan for current and future infrastructure needs (e.g. roads, public works building, police department...).
- 7. Public Engagement- Build public trust through communication and transparency.
- 8. Inter-Agency Relationships- Collaborate with civic groups, businesses, other government entities, including the Germantown School District, to provide community-focused services.

STRATEGIC QUESTIONS AND ACTIONS

At the December 2nd Village Board meeting, participants reviewed and discussed

- 1. Recognizing current fiscal constraints, how do you anticipate paying for infrastructure improvements?
 - a. Encourage development that helps pay for improved infrastructure?
 - b. Slow development and cut services?
 - c. Borrow more money than has occurred in the past?
 - d. Encourage infill development at sites with existing infrastructure?
 - e. Increase taxes through a referendum?
 - f. Use surplus reserves?

Action:

- Continue development and implementation of Affordability Model for the development of the capital improvement plan that prioritizes infrastructure needs and identifies funding strategies.
- Use common sense approach to each project to identify priorities and fund what is needed in order to reduce expenditures and redirect funds to other priority needs.
- 2. Recognizing current staff time and resource constraints, how do you anticipate addressing public engagement and inter-agency relationships?
 - a. Allow flexibility to redirect current resources to accomplish (this may require changing organizational structures and processes)?

Action:

- Research successful public engagement strategies used by other municipalities including the effectiveness (outcome measurements) of these strategies.
- Implement public engagement survey and promote with homeowner associations, library, and tax receipts.
- Continue to implement changes to public hearing process and notifications.
- Engage in proactive communication and shared decision-making with Washington County.

Additional Action Steps Identified by President Dean Wolter on 1/20/20

- Board and staff need to find ways to broadcast information out to the community, following the example of Community Development's recent efforts.
- Staff's primary focus needs to be on communication because other goals will become easier to meet once we have better communications internally, with the board and with the community.
- 3. Recognizing the Village is currently undertaking a Comprehensive Planning process, how do you anticipate balancing competing visions (e.g. growth vs. no growth)? Action:
 - Through public engagement process, provide rationale for decisions for infrastructure improvements and new developments.

Additional Action Steps Identified by President Dean Wolter on 1/20/20.

Village Board reviews status of strategic priorities quarterly.

- Committees provide additional information at Board meetings (e.g. agenda items, major discussions, differing opinions, decisions...).
- Village Board is more specific with goals (e.g. which specific streets need to be a higher priority for repairs).

APPENDIX A: GOAL IDENTIFICATION

Staff Goal Identification (grouped into themes): October 8, 2019

Planning

- Create a plan to move forward with
- Community participation with comprehensive planning process
- Planning for next generations- we have been baby boomer centric
- Plan to update infrastructure and facilities
- More funding for services- more diversified funding sources
- Growing staff levels to meet current and future demands, and to sustain service levels
- Proactive planning for development- resistance to change has led to lack of planning, but development will occur anyway
- A more unified vision of who and what we are as a community (change vs. no change)

Collaboration

- Collaboration with other communities for shared resources- needs to be smart, responsible, and community focused. The process for collaboration is important. It needs to be community service focused, not money focused.
- Intergovernmental Agreements with school district needs to be more collaboratively-based (current agreement expires in two years)
- More diversity and involvement with government and civic groups
- More collaboration between government, business, and residents (e.g. Gehl, JW Speaker)
- A more unified vision of who and what we are as a community (change vs. no change)

Communication

- Better engagement and communication with public, build trust, and share challenges that we face
- A more unified vision of who and what we are as a community (change vs. no change)

Village Board Goal Identification Survey Responses: November 2019

A survey was sent to Village Board members on November 5, 2019 asking members to reflect on the Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, and Challenges that were identified on November 4th and then respond to the following question: What do we need to accomplish over the next 2-3 years in our community?

The survey was closed on November 26th and had five (5) responses, for a response rate of 56%. In order to assist with prioritizing the identified goals, the responses have been grouped into themes.

Planning

- A primary focus on neighborhoods
- Complete and implement 2050 Plan
- Develop a comprehensive plan to address the large expense of near future infrastructure costs
- Stop industrial expansion into the downtown neighborhoods
- Designate Downtown area for entertainment or provide direction on Germantown identity
- Protect neighborhoods from crime, nuisances (noise, traffic, industrial activities, smells, etc.)
- Celebrate neighborhoods by highlighting unique characteristics and promoting each area

Infrastructure

- Better Roads
- Capital spending needs to be within our ability to pay
- New Police Station
- New Public Works building
- Accelerate road resurfacing in neighborhoods
- Infrastructure plan for long range build up & maintenance, sewer & water, streets

Public Engagement

- Provide more transparency to the public
- Need to listen and work with residents on the development of this Village
- Notify neighborhoods about plans under discussion for their area and the larger community
- Need to develop a method of communicating what is happening that reaches a minority of residents
- Need to be open and transparent

Fiscal

- We do not spend more than we take in
- Cultivate or maintain a cautious fiscal outlook especially as things are going very well
- More money for road repair coming from general fund & not borrowing
- More competitive salaries for Village employees

Inter-Agency Relationships

- Cooperation with the School District for the betterment of all residents
- Stop any "us v/s them" nonsense with the school board and start working together for Germantown

APPENDIX B: EVALUATIONS

October 8, 2019 ~ 9 Responses ~ 9 Participants

1. Conducting a SWOC Analysis assisted in the identification of internal strengths & weaknesses and external opportunities & challenges.

Strongly		Neutral		Strongly
Disagree				Agree
1	2	3	4	5
0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (22%)	7 (78%)

Average: 4.8 Comments:

- Required employee input and fleshing out problems and areas to be improved
- Very helpful to get everyone's input and ideas
- Paul is very professional and an excellent communicator, facilitator and presenter
- Good general collaborative process
- 2. The process of identifying and prioritizing goals increased our ability to identify issues and set priorities.

Average: 4.8
Comments:

Very useful

Strongly		Neutral		Strongly
Disagree				Agree
1	2	3	4	5
0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (22%)	7 (78%)

3. Overall, how would you rate my facilitation of today's session?

Average: 4.9 Comments:

- Great job getting everyone involved
- Excellent job, repeat of number 1

Poor		Neutral		Excellent
1	2	3	4	5
0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (11%)	8 (89%)

November 4, 2019 ~ 8 Responses ~ 8 Participants

1. Conducting a SWOC Analysis assisted in the identification of internal strengths & weaknesses and external opportunities & challenges.

Average: 3.8 Comments:

Strongly		Neutral		Strongly
Disagree				Agree
1	2	3	4	5
1 (13%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	6 (75%)	1 (13%)

- Help to brainstorm for ideas
- 2. Overall, how would you rate my facilitation of today's session?

Average: 4.5 Comments:

- Paul always does a great job facilitating
- 3. Additional Remarks:
- Good start to the process. I look forward to the next step

Poor		Neutral		Excellent
1	2	3	4	5
0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4 (50%)	4 (50%)